

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Atexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,492	07/22/2003	Jerry Yao	BHT-3117-143	6344	
7590 07/14/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC SUITE 1404			TRUONG,	TRUONG, THANH K	
5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			3721	·	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,492	YAO, JERRY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh K Truong	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2003.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	$\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,492

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the round-shaped (claim 4) and rectangular shaped (claim 5) of the positioning rib and the positioning notch must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There are no supports in the Applicant's disclosure for: the rectangular shape of the positioning rib and the positioning groove (claim 5), and the nail-guiding grooves of the nail cartridge are made integral of reinforced plastic (claim 8).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohuchi (5,816,469).

Ohuchi discloses an apparatus (figure 5) comprising: a combining groove formed integral at one side on which the nail heads of a nail row rest (figures 2, 3 & 7), the combining groove fixed inside with a abrasion-resisting plate 10, the nail heads of the nail row resting against the abrasion-resisting plate and shifting thereon in the process

of nail striking and nail supplying of the nail row, enabling the nail row shift smoothly and preventing nails from being deadlocked.

Ohuchi further discloses: the nail-guiding grooves of the nail cartridge are made integral of: aluminum alloy (column 1, lines 26-27), engineering plastic (column 1, lines 30-31), and reinforced plastic (column 2, lines 39-42); the abrasion-resisting plate is a steel plate of high strength and high wear resistance (column 2, lines 44-45); and the combining groove is respectively disposed at the opposite portions of one side of the nail-guiding groove, on which the nail heads of the nail row rest.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohuchi (5,816,469).

As discussed above in paragraph 4 of this office action, Ohuchi discloses the claimed invention, but does not expressly disclose the positioning rib on the groove and the positioning notch on the abrasion-resisting plate; the rib and the notch are: V-shaped, round-shaped and rectangular shaped.

Official notice is taken that the concept of using the combination of rib and notch to keep the insert plate stable and stay in place in the groove are well known and

expected in the art. It would have been obvious to include the rib and groove in Ohuchi as they are known to provide a means to secure the abrasion-resisting plate in a designed position in the nail-guiding grooves of the nail cartridge.

It would have been an obvious matter of engineering choice to design the positioning rib and the positioning notch in V-shaped, round-shaped or rectangular shaped, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape or form of a component. A change in shape or form is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

And it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the width of the combining groove or the abrasion-resisting plate is two thirds of the thickness of the wall between the two nail-guiding grooves, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 198C).

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh K Truong whose telephone number is (703) 605-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,492

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tkt

July 8, 2004.

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER